IN THE UNITED	STATES	DISTRICT	COURT

FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

No. C 04-04981 WHA

FINAL PRETRIAL

CONFERENCE

REMINDER NOTICE OF

UPCOMING TRIAL AND

MARGIE CHERRY and ESTORIA CHERRY, on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated,

Plaintiffs,

v.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

THE CITY COLLEGE OF SAN FRANCISCO ("City College") LAWRENCE WONG, in his official capacity as President of the Board of Trustees, MILTON MARKS, III, in his official capacity as Vice-President of the Board of Trustees, DR. NATALIE BERG, JOHNNIE CARTER, JR., DR. ANITA GRIER, JULIO J. RAMOS, RODEL E. RODIS, in their official capacities as members of the Board of Trustees, and DR. PHILIP R. RAY, JR., in his official capacity as Chancellor.

Defendants.

20

This notice serves as a friendly reminder that this case remains set for a FINAL

PRETRIAL CONFERENCE on JANUARY 30, 2006, at 2:00 P.M., with a BENCH TRIAL on FEBRUARY 14, 2006. Please consult the existing case management order and review and follow all standing guidelines and orders of the undersigned for civil cases on the Court's website at http://www.cand.uscourts.gov. Continuances will rarely be granted, especially after a lengthy continuance was already granted in this case. Lead trial counsel have long been on notice of those dates and must attend.

The final pretrial conference will be an important event, for it will be there that the
shape of the upcoming trial will be determined, including in limine orders, time limits and
exhibit mechanics. Again, lead trial counsel must attend.

The Court is aware that the parties are trying to mediate the case. To avoid any misunderstanding with respect to the final pretrial conference and trial, however, the Court wishes to emphasize that all filings and appearances must be made — on pain of dismissal, default or other sanction — unless and until a dismissal fully resolving the case is received. It will not be enough to inform the clerk that a settlement in principle has been reached or to lodge a partially executed settlement agreement or to lodge a fully executed agreement (or dismissal) that resolves less than the entire case. Where, however, a fully-executed and unconditional settlement agreement clearly and fully disposing of the entire case is lodged reasonably in advance of the pretrial conference or trial and only a ministerial act remains, the Court will arrange a telephone conference to work out an alternate procedure pending a formal dismissal. Approval by a board has proven, in the Court's experience, *not* to be a ministerial act. Please plan accordingly.

Dated: November 16, 2005.

WILLIAM ALSUP UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE